```
From:
              Fennessy, Christopher [christopher.fennessy@Rocket.com]
Sent:
              1/10/2018 1:16:47 AM
              Keller, Lynn [Keller.Lynn@epa.gov]
To:
CC:
             Plate, Mathew [Plate.Mathew@epa.gov]; Stralka, Daniel [Stralka.Daniel@epa.gov]; 'Tom.Lae@CH2M.com'
              [Tom.Lae@CH2M.com]
Subject:
             RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: Area 40 weather station
We will be discussing ambient air next Tuesday, but report will not be submitted until later in January.
Internal reviews, edits, etc.
 ----Original Message----
       Keller, Lynn [mailto:Keller.Lynn@epa.gov]
From:
       Tuesday, January 09, 2018 04:04 PM Pacific Standard Time
Sent:
       Fennessy, Christopher
To:
       Plate, Mathew; Stralka, Daniel; Tom.Lae@CH2M.com
Cc:
                                    RE: Area 40 weather station
               Re: [EXTERNAL]
Subject:
Oh, I thought we'd be discussing ambient air sampling also on Tues the 16th of Jan. Ok-thank you for
checking on the additional info!
Lynn
> On Jan 9, 2018, at 13:47, Fennessy, Christopher <christopher.fennessy@Rocket.com> wrote:
> Hi Lynn - Sorry, out of office with no access to files today. We are working on compiling all of the
data in accordance with the schedule in the work plan and intend on having report to you by end of
          If I get to the office tomorrow, I can send you the lab reports for the data. I will also see
if we have the wind roses for the surrounding weather stations yet.
> Chris
>
> ----Original Message----
            Keller, Lynn [mailto:Keller.Lynn@epa.gov]
> From:
            Tuesday, January 09, 2018 12:53 PM Pacific Standard Time
> Sent:
          Fennessy, Christopher
> To:
         Plate, Mathew; Stralka, Daniel; Tom.Lae@CH2M.com
> Cc:
               FW: [EXTERNAL]
                                    RE: Area 40 weather station
> Hi, Chris. We are meeting Thursday to internally discuss the ambient air sampling results to date, but
we only have the failed weather station data and the figure from the Nov event. Can you please send over
any additional info, lab data, wind roses, etc. by tomorrow so we can review it Thursday?
> Dan noted we also don't have a wind rose for the Sept event; could you send one over please? If
there's anything else I'm missing, please chime in Dan, Matt, Tom.
> Thank you,
> Lynn
> Lynn M. Keller, EI, PMP
> US EPA Region 9 RPM
> 75 Hawthorne St, SFD 7-1
> San Francisco, CA 94105
> 415.947.4162
> ----Original Message----
> From: Fennessy, Christopher [mailto:christopher.fennessy@Rocket.com]
 Sent: Monday, December 18, 2017 1:08 PM
> To: Plate, Mathew <Plate.Mathew@epa.gov>
> Cc: Keller, Lynn <Keller.Lynn@epa.gov>
> Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] RE: Area 40 weather station
> Hi Mat - During the November ambient air sampling event at Area 40, our on-site weather station failed. It only recorded data for the first day or day and a half. Attached is the data from the on-site weather
station, the AMPAC weather station, and the Iron Point/McAdoo weather station (this weather station
compared best with local weather station during August event) for the 14 day event in November.
> Lynn requested that I send you the data to see if you have any suggestions on how to use the data for
analysis. The analytical results from the event have just been received. While they are still considered preliminary, they appear similar to the August event results. Specifically, we had detections
```

of TCE at locations -SP01 (western sump), -SP02 (eastern sump), -SP05 (above Permeable Reactive Barrier

just northwest of the sump area). No other detections of TCE. Highest concentration during this event (0.91ug/m3) was at -SPO2 (same as last event). This was the only location where TCE was detected at a concentration that exceeded the residential indoor air screening level of 0.48ug/m3. The concentration at -SP08 was 0.11ug/m3. The attached figure shows the locations and the TCE concentrations reported in the preliminary laboratory report. > We used the AMPAC weather station's average temperature over the 14 day period to provide to the laboratory for analytical correction. We plan on plotting up the wind rose for each of these weather stations and including that information in the tech memo. Let me know if there are any specific conclusions you find from the data. > Thanks! Chris > Christopher M. Fennessy, P.E. > Aerojet Rocketdyne, Inc. > Engineering Manager, Site Remediation > 11260 Pyrites Way, Suite 125 > Rancho Cordova, CA 95670 > Ph: 916-355-3341 > Fax: 916-355-6145 > Email: Christopher.Fennessy@Rocket.com > ----Original Message----> From: Arthur Forma [mailto:AForma@Geosyntec.com] > Sent: Monday, December 18, 2017 8:32 AM
> To: Fennessy, Christopher
> Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Area 40 weather station > The station recorded approximately 1.5 days of data (full set: temp, wind speed/direction, humidity, etc.) before it overheated and shut down. Attached is the output of the weather station data along with the data from the 2 nearby public stations (AMPAC and Iron Point) for the November event. > Arthur Forma, PG, CEG, CHG > Principal Hydrogeologist \_\_\_\_\_\_ > Direct: 916.637.8327 > Mobile: 916.494.8110 > ----Original Message----> From: Fennessy, Christopher [mailto:christopher.fennessy@Rocket.com] > Sent: Monday, December 18, 2017 8:23 AM > To: Arthur Forma <AForma@Geosyntec.com> > Subject: Area 40 weather station > Hi Arthur - Can you tell me exactly what was recorded on the weather station at area 40 during the last event? Thanks Chris

along Prairie City Rd), and -SP08 (located on the southern border of the future residential neighborhood